



ROUTT COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AGENDA COMMUNICATION FORM

ITEM DATE: May 10, 2022	ITEM TIME: CONSENT AGENDA

FROM:	Alan Goldich
TODAY'S DATE:	May 3, 2022
AGENDA TITLE:	Drea Agreement Release
CHECK ONE THAT APPLIES TO YOUR ITEM:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION ITEM	
<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECTION	
<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	
I. DESCRIBE THE REQUEST OR ISSUE:	
Release of a Development Agreement for Lot 2 Drea Exemption.	
II. RECOMMENDED ACTION (motion):	
Approve this Release of Agreement Regarding Development of Land	
III. DESCRIBE FISCAL IMPACTS (VARIATION TO BUDGET):	
PROPOSED REVENUE (if applicable): \$	
CURRENT BUDGETED AMOUNT: \$	
PROPOSED EXPENDITURE: \$	
FUNDING SOURCE:	
SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET NEEDED: YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Explanation:	
IV. IMPACTS OF A REGIONAL NATURE OR ON OTHER JURISDICTIONS (IDENTIFY ANY COMMUNICATIONS ON THIS ITEM):	
N/A	
V. BACKGROUND INFORMATION:	

In 1991, the Board approved the Drea Subdivision Exemption which created Lots 1 and 2. This subdivision is located off of CR 24, east of the intersection of CR 24 and Hwy 40. The bridge over Walton Creek at the time could not support heavy construction traffic. The Board wanted to ensure that damage to the bridge did not occur from construction traffic so they required a development agreement to be entered into to protect against this. The agreement also addressed the grade of the driveway that would be used to access Lot 2. Lot 2 is under contract and the prospective buyers requested that the agreement be released. The bridge over Walton Creek was replaced for construction of the Priest Creek LPS and Public Works has no concerns with the bridge. Also, the grade of the driveway is reviewed by the Fire Dpt when a building permit is applied for. Based on these two factors, staff believes that the



ROUTT COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

AGENDA COMMUNICATION FORM

agreement can be released.

Only the owners of Lot 2 have requested for the agreement to be released. Lot 1 has not requested it nor has consented to the release. There is no requirement that the owner of Lot 1 consent to the release. This issue of releasing only one lot was discussed with legal and it was determined that the agreement should be released only in respects to Lot 2.

VI. LEGAL ISSUES:
This release has been reviewed by the County Attorney office.
VII. CONFLICTS OR ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES:
N/A
VIII. SUMMARY AND OTHER OPTIONS:
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Deny2. Table for additional information
IX. LIST OF ATTACHMENTS:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Original agreement• Agreement release